WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	J	laime Perez-Peraza	Case N	Number:	09-6035M	
and wa	s repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.0 ented by counsel. I conclude by a part pending trial in this case.				
16.11		and a second of the second of	FINDINGS OF FA	ACT		
i tina by		onderance of the evidence that:				
		The defendant is not a citizen of		•	·	
		The defendant, at the time of the	_			
		If released herein, the defended Enforcement, placing him/her be or otherwise removed.	ant faces removal pro yond the jurisdiction of	oceedings this Cour	s by the Bureau t and the defendan	of Immigration and Customs thas previously been deported
		The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United	States o	r in the District of A	rizona.
		The defendant has no resources to assure his/her future appeara		om which	he/she might make	e a bond reasonably calculated
	X	The defendant has a prior crimin	al history.			
		The defendant lives/works in Me	xico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty a substantial family ties to Mexico.		ubstantial	ties in Arizona or	in the United States and has
		There is a record of prior failure	to appear in court as o	rdered.		
		The defendant attempted to eva-	de law enforcement co	ntact by f	leeing from law ent	forcement.
		The defendant is facing a maxim	um of	у	ears imprisonmen	t.
at the ti	The Co ime of th	urt incorporates by reference the ne hearing in this matter, except a	material findings of the s noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS O		ervices Agency wh	ich were reviewed by the Cour
	1. 2.		efendant will flee. onditions will reasonab CTIONS REGARDING	oly assure 3 DETEN	TION	•
appeal. of the L	ctions fa . The de Jnited St	fendant is committed to the custoc cility separate, to the extent practic fendant shall be afforded a reasor ates or on request of an attorney f e United States Marshal for the po	cable, from persons awa lable opportunity for pri or the Government, the	aiting or so vate cons e person in ce in conr	erving sentences of sultation with defension of charge of the correction with a cour	r being held in custody pending se counsel. On order of a cour rections facility shall deliver the
deliver Court.	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsider	f this detention order be	e filed witl	h the District Court	, it is counsel's responsibility to e hearing set before the Distric
Service	es suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a releat ently in advance of the hearing b potential third party custodian.				
	DATE	D this 20 th day of January	, 2009.			
			A.			
			2000	-		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge